Co-ordination Chemistry of Higher Oxidation States. Part 3.1 Palladium(IV) Complexes with Neutral Unidentate Ligands

David J. Gulliver and William Levason *
Department of Chemistry, The University, Southampton SO9 5NH

Oxidation of [NR₄][PdLX₃] with the corresponding halogen (X₂) in carbon tetrachloride gave octahedral palladium(IV) anions [NR₄][PdLX₅] [L = py (pyridine), AsEt₃, SMe₂, or SeMe₂, X = Cl or Br; L = NMe₃, PPh₃S, or PPrⁿ₃, X = Cl; L = PEt₂Ph, X = Br]. Attempts to prepare analogues with L = SbMe₃, TeMe₂, or dmso (dimethyl sulphoxide) failed. Neutral palladium(IV) complexes *trans*-[PdL₂X₄] (L = NMe₃, py, PPrⁿ₃, or AsMe₂Ph, X = Cl; L = NMe₃, X = Br) have been obtained from *trans*-[PdL₂X₂] and X₂. The complexes were characterised by i.r. and electronic spectroscopy, and conductivity measurements, and their thermal decomposition examined by t.g.a.

Palladium(IV) is an unfamiliar oxidation state, most readily obtained in complexes with anionic ligands, for example Pd- Cl_6^{2-} , $PdBr_6^{2-}$, $[Pd(SO_3F)_6]^{2-}$, and $[Pd(S_2CNR_2)_3]Cl.^2$ There are a number of reports of complexes with neutral bidentates, mostly amines or nitrogen heterocycles, but complexes of neutral unidentates are little known. Complexes of the latter type described in the literature are [Pd(NH₃)₂Cl₄],³⁻⁵ [Pd(py)₂- Cl_4] (py = pyridine), [PdL₂Cl₄] (L = 1-phenylethylamine), and [Pd(NH₂Me)₂Cl₄],⁴ and even for these the published data are incomplete. There appear to be no reports of Pd^{IV} complexes with unidentate ligands containing the heavier members of Group 5B or 6B. Although no organopalladium(IV) complexes have been isolated [the pentafluorophenyl complex Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂(C₆F₅)₂ reported ⁸ in 1969 has been shown ⁹ to be a palladium(11) dimer Pd₂(PPh₃)₂Cl₂(C₆F₅)₂, there is growing evidence 10,11 that organopalladium(IV) intermediates are involved in the organopalladium(II) mediated coupling of alkyl halides. As part of a more general study of the chemistry of the platinum metals in the higher oxidation states, we have examined the halogen oxidation of a range of palladium(II) complexes with neutral Group 5B and 6B donor ligands.

Results

Anionic Complexes $[NR_4][PdLX_5]$ $(X = Cl \ or \ Br, \ L =$ unidentate ligand, R = alkyl).—The treatment of finely powdered palladium(II) anions [NR4][PdLX3] suspended in a small quantity of carbon tetrachloride with a slight excess of the appropriate halogen gave the corresponding palladium(IV) complexes $[NR_4][PdLX_5]$ (L = py, AsEt₃, SMe₂, or SeMe₂, X = Cl or Br; $L = PPr^{n}_{3}$, $PPh_{3}S$, or NMe_{3} , X = Cl; L =PEt₂Ph, X = Br), Table 1. These complexes vary in colour from orange to red-brown, and decompose slowly at room temperature, but can be stored at -20 °C for several months without decomposition. The [NR₄][PdLX₅] complexes all dissolve in chlorocarbon solvents, although they are markedly less soluble than the palladium(II) anions, and the stability in solution depends greatly upon L, but seems to differ little whether X = Cl or Br. Thus the $[Pd(py)X_5]^-$ ions are stable in the presence of a little free halogen, whilst at the other extreme [Pd(SeMe₂)X₅] decomposes immediately with the selenide being oxidised to Me₂SeX₂, identified by the characteristic ¹² ¹H n.m.r. resonances at δ 3.64 (Cl) and 3.61 p.p.m. (Br). The complexes are decomposed by water or alcohols, but dissolve readily in dry nitrobenzene, in which they have conductivities consistent with 1:1 electrolytes (Table 1). Our attempts to halogen oxidise $[NR_4][PdLX_3]$ (L = SbMe₃ or TeMe₂) and [NR₄][Pd(dmso)Cl₃] (dmso = dimethyl sulphoxide) resulted in decomposition, in the cases of the stibines and tellurides, Me₃SbX₂ and Me₂TeX₂ (identified by ¹H n.m.r. spectroscopy) were produced. Several systems including PPh₃O (X = Cl or Br), NMe₃, PPh₃S, or dmso (X = Br) could not be examined since the $[PdLX_3]^-$ starting materials could not be obtained.

For a substituted octahedral $[MLX_5]^-$ ion (C_{4v}) , theory ¹³ predicts four metal-halide stretching vibrations $A_1(MX)$, $A_1(MX_4)$, $B_1(MX_4)$, and $E(MX_4)$, of which the B_1 is Raman active only. The deep colours and instability of the [PdLX₅] ions prevented Raman studies, but the far-i.r. bands tentatively assigned as Pd-X vibrations are listed in Table 2. Generally three bands were observed as predicted, although in a few cases fewer bands could be distinguished presumably due to coincidence of the absorptions. In the absence of Raman data, the assignment of individual bands is uncertain, but a comparison with the far-i.r. spectra of the [PtLX₅]⁻ ions ¹³ is interesting. The latter exhibit $A_1(PtX)$ at considerably lower frequencies than the $A_1, E(PtX_4)$, but for $[PdLX_5]^-$ the three bands are closer together. The low value of the $A_1(PtX)$ v(Pt-X) trans to L is attributed to the large trans influence of L, and since any π component will be small for these high oxidation states, the trans influence must operate largely via anisotropic σ -bonding effects. It appears that the trans influence of the neutral ligand L is considerably less in $[PdLX_s]^-$ than in $[PtLX_s]^-$, consistent with Pd^{IV} being harder and less polarisable than Pt^{IV} and hence less influenced by the unequal ligand σ -bonding requirements.

The diffuse reflectance electronic spectra of the $[PdLX_5]^-$ ions contain intense overlapping bands at 18 000—30 000 cm⁻¹ (Table 2). The lowest energy charge-transfer band in $PdCl_6^{2-}$, $Pd(e_g) \leftarrow Cl$, is at 29 400 cm⁻¹ ¹⁴ which after correction for ligand field splitting gives an optical electronegativity for Pd^{IV} of 2.75. Combining this with χ_{opt} , for the neutral ligands ¹⁴ suggests that most of the absorptions observed are charge transfer in origin. Most of the complexes decomposed too rapidly in solution for absorption coefficients to be measured, and only for $[NBu^n_4][Pd(py)Cl_5]$ was a reproducible solution spectrum obtained.

Thermogravimetric analysis (t.g.a.) of representative $[PdLX_5]^-$ salts showed that most were decomposed on fairly gentle heating, the weight losses in the initial process corresponding to $-X_2$, *i.e.* conversion of $[PdLX_5]^-$ to $[PdLX_3]^-$ (e.g. $L = AsEt_3$, X = Cl or Br; $L = SMe_2$, X = Cl). The anions with the N-donors NMe₃ and py decomposed at higher temperatures, but the weight losses were very large indicating complete breakdown of the complex; in the case of the NMe₃ complex at least this is to be expected in view of the low stability of the Pd^{11} complex.¹⁵

Attempts were made to study the oxidation of [NR₄]-[PdLCl₃] by cyclic voltammetry, but in acetonitrile containing 0.2 mol dm⁻³ [NBuⁿ₄][BF₄] as base electrolyte (sweep rates

Table 1. Physical data

	Colour	Analysis (%) a				A D1
Complex		C	Н	X	N	$\Lambda_{\rm M}^{\ b}/$ S cm ² mol ⁻¹
$[Pd(NMe_3)_2Cl_4]$	Orange	19.5 (19.7)	5.0 (4.95)	38.9 (38.7)	7.3 (7.65)	
$[Pd(NMe_3)_2Br_4]$	Dark red	13.4 (13.25)	3.2 (3.3)	59.1 (58.7)	5.1 (5.15)	
$[Pd(py)_2Cl_4]$	Orange	29.7 (29.6)	2.6 (2.5)	35.2 (34.9)	7.0 (6.9)	2
$[Pd(PPr_3)_2Cl_4]$	Red	37.8 (38.0)	7.0 (7.4)	24.9 (24.9)	()	_
$[Pd(AsMe_2Ph)_2Cl_4]$	Orange	30.95 (31.4)	3.6 (3.6)	(,		
$[NPr_4][Pd(NMe_3)Cl_5]$	Orange	34.1 (34.05)	7.3 (7.05)	33.3 (33.5)	2.5 (2.65)	30
$[NBu^{n}_{4}][Pd(py)Cl_{5}]$	Orange	42.1 (42.7)	6.9 (6.8)	29.0 (29.3)	4.7 (4.6)	22
$[NBu^{n}_{4}][Pd(py)Br_{5}]$	Brown	31.0 (30.5)	5.1 (5.0)	48.7 (48.3)	3.6 (3.4)	27
$[NEt_4][Pd(PPr_3)Cl_5]$	Orange-red	35.8 (35.6)	7.0 (7.2)	31.3 (30.9)	2.6 (2.45)	29
$[NMe_4][Pd(PEt_2Ph)Br_5]$	Dark brown	22.9 (22.6)	3.8 (3.7)	52.9 (53.5)	1.6 (1.9)	30
$[NEt_4][Pd(AsEt_3)Cl_5]$	Orange	28.9 (29.2)	6.1 (6.1)	30.2 (30.8)	2.3 (2.4)	21
$[NMe_4][Pd(AsEt_3)Br_5]$	Dark brown	16.8 (16.2)	3.8 (3.7)	54.3 (53.8)	1.7 (1.9)	29
$[NEt_4][Pd(SMe_2)Cl_5]$	Orange	24.8 (25.2)	5.7 (5.5)	37.3 (37.25)	3.0 (2.95)	28
$[NMe_4][Pd(SMe_2)Br_5]$	Red-brown	11.4 (11.2)	2.6 (2.8)	62.0 (62.2)	2.1 (2.2)	30
[NBu ⁿ 4][Pd(PPh3S)Cl5]	Fawn	49.7 (49.8)	6.1 (6.3)	21.1 (21.6)	1.5 (1.7)	23
$[NBu^{n}_{4}][Pd(SeMe_{2})Cl_{5}]$	Fawn	34.2 (34.05)	6.5 (6.7)	27.4 (27.9)	2.2 (2.2)	29
$[NBu_4][Pd(SeMe_2)Br_5]$	Red-brown	26.0 (26.35)	4.9 (4.9)	47.2 (46.6)	1.6 (1.65)	31.5

^a Calculated values in parentheses. ^b In 10^{-3} mol dm⁻³ nitrobenzene; 1:1 electrolytes have Λ_M 20—30 S cm² mol⁻¹ in this solvent (W. J. Geary, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1971, 7, 81).

Table 2. Spectroscopic data

zasio z. Specificospie data							
Complex	I.r. a/cm-1	$10^{-3}E_{\text{max.}}^{b}/\text{cm}^{-1}$					
$[Pd(NMe_3)_2Cl_4]$	338s (br)	35.5, 28.0, 20.7					
$[Pd(NMe_3)_2Br_4]$	225s	28.0 (sh), 25.5,					
		22.4 (sh), 18.6					
$[Pd(py)_2Cl_4]$	353	33.0 (br), 27.0 (sh),					
20/2 43		22.6 (br)					
[Pd(PPr ⁿ ₃) ₂ Cl ₄]	335 (br)	35.0, 28.7, 22.6,					
572 - 43		20.0					
[Pd(AsMe ₂ Ph) ₂ Cl ₄]	336 (br)	35.5, 28.8 (sh),					
£	(-)	22.5 (sh), 20.4					
[NPr ⁿ ₄][Pd(NMe ₃)Cl ₅]	340 (sh), 335s,	35.0, 26.8, 22.7					
£ 43£- =(320 (sh)	20.5					
[NBu ⁿ ₄][Pd(py)Cl ₅]	350s, 325 (sh),	27.2, 23.1, 20.5 °					
Fr := 22 43E- 2(Fy) - 233	308w (sh)						
[NBu ⁿ 4][Pd(py)Br5]	274 (sh), 256s	36.0 (br), 29.0 (br),					
[4][(F) /3]		22.9, 18.7					
[NEt ₄][Pd(PPr ⁿ ₃)Cl ₅]	338s, 315 (sh)	35.0, 27.0, 24.0,					
[- · - · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, (,	19.8					
[NMe ₄][Pd(PEt ₂ Ph)Br ₅]	245vs	25.0 (br), 18.7					
[NEt ₄][Pd(AsEt ₃)Cl ₅]	342 (sh), 338s,	34.8, 26.8, 25.0,					
5, 5, 5,	317 (sh)	20.0					
[NMe ₄][Pd(AsEt ₃)Br ₅]	251vs, 236 (sh),	29.0, 21.0,					
	204m	16.8 (sh)					
[NEt ₄][Pd(SMe ₂)Cl ₅]	344 (sh), 338s,	34.5, 26.7, 23.3,					
	315w (sh)	20.3					
[NMe ₄][Pd(SMe ₂)Br ₅]	262 (sh), 248s,	35.5, 26.3, 23.1,					
	243s (br)	19.3					
[NBun4][Pd(PPh3S)Cl5] d	346 (sh), 335s,	27.6, 21.5					
	318m	,					
[NBu ⁿ ₄][Pd(SeMe ₂)Cl ₅]	347s, 334s, 305w	35.0 (br), 28.0,					
- · · · -/ ·		21.8 (sh), 19.8					
$[NBu_4][Pd(SeMe_2)Br_5]$	257, 238 (sh)	28.5, 22.6, 18.5					
· · · · · · · · · ·		·					

^a Far-i.r. spectra 400—170 cm⁻¹ (Nujol mull). Bands believed to be due to v(Pd-X). ^b Electronic spectra of solids diluted with BaSO₄. ^c CH₂Cl₂ solution 28 100 (ε = 2 094), 24 200 (1 080), 20 800 (sh) (441 dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). ⁴ v(P=S) = 535, v(P=S) free ligand = 638 cm⁻¹.

0.01—1 V s⁻¹) only several irreversible oxidation processes were observed, consistent with breakdown of the complexes. This is not surprising in that the products of the oxidation, [PdLX₃] or more likely [PdLX₃]⁺, will be extremely unstable. Addition of [NR₄]Cl did not alter the irreversibility suggesting that co-ordination of chloride to the oxidised palladium ion is too slow to effect stabilisation.

Neutral Complexes [PdL₂X₄].—Treatment of a suspension of trans-[PdL₂Cl₂] (L = NMe₃ or py) with a small excess of chlorine in CCl₄ produced orange [PdL₂Cl₄] (Table 1), and the dark red [Pd(NMe₃)₂Br₄] was obtained similarly from [Pd(NMe₃)₂Br₂] and bromine. However, [Pd(py)₂Br₂] did not oxidise, being recovered unchanged on treatment with bromine. These N-donor complexes are reasonably stable solids which can be stored at 0 °C for some months without decomposition. Thermogravimetric analysis confirms ¹⁶ that [Pd(py)₂Cl₄] reductively eliminates chlorine to form [Pd(py)₂Cl₂], but the trimethylamine complexes again decompose completely on heating. These complexes are shown to be the trans-[PdL₂X₄] isomer by the presence of a single strong band in the far-i.r. spectra (Table 2) assignable as $E_{\mu}(PdX_4)$.

The attempted oxidation of $[PdL_2Cl_2](L = PMe_2Ph, PPh_3)$ AsEt₃, AsPh₃, or SbMe₃) with the stoicheiometric amount of chlorine in CCl₄ produced intractable red oils, but by careful control of the conditions [Pd(PPrⁿ₃)₂Cl₄] and [Pd(AsMe₂Ph)₂-Cl₄] were isolated. Both are of borderline stability, very difficult to produce in a state of reasonable purity, and decompose in a few days at room temperature. They were assigned trans structures on the basis of their far-i.r. spectra (Table 2). Chlorine oxidation of trans- $[Pd(SR_2)_2Cl_2]$ (R = Me or Ph) produced deep orange solids which decompose during drying and could not be characterised. From the properties of the crude materials they are probably the Pd^{1V} complexes. which is interesting since cis-[PdLCl₂] (L = dithioether) do not oxidise, 17 being recovered unchanged after treatment with Cl_2-CCl_4 . Treatment of $[PdL_2Br_2]$ (L = PR_3 , AsR₃, or SMe₂) with bromine gave only red oils.

Use of excess chlorine in the oxidation of Pd¹¹ complexes of phosphine, arsine, or thioether ligands results in the formation of PdCl₆²⁻ (cf. ref. 4) and oxidised ligand, e.g. Ph₃PO from [Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂], a mixture of Ph₃AsO and Ph₃AsCl₂ from [Pd(AsPh₃)₂Cl₂], and Me₂SCl₂ from [Pd(SMe₂)₂Cl₂] identified by a combination of i.r., ¹H n.m.r., and mass spectra.

Discussion

The results described above show that palladium(IV) complexes with unidentate ligands can be isolated, but are much less stable than the platinum(IV) analogues.¹³ As expected for a

high oxidation state the anionic [PdLX₃]⁻ are more stable than the neutral [PdL₂X₄]. The stability of the Pd^{IV} complexes is very dependent upon L, but for the anions at least, the differences between chloride and bromide are small.

On thermodynamic grounds the decreased stability of Pd^{1V} relative to Pt^{1V} is expected in view of the greater ionisation potential $[Pd_{(g)} \rightarrow Pd^{4+}_{(g)} = 10 652 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}, Pt_{(g)} \rightarrow Pt^{4+}_{(g)} = 9 364 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \, ^{18}]$, which is only slightly offset by the lower enthalpy of atomisation of palladium [381 (Pd), 546 (Pt) kJ mol} $^{-1} \, ^{19}$]. The $t_{2g}^{\ 6} e_g^{\ 0}$ configuration of the M^{1V} complexes results in maximum ligand field stabilisation energy for the octahedral complexes, and here too the larger Δ values for 5d elements (approximately 30% greater) contribute to greater stability for the Pt^{1V} complexes.

The influence of the neutral donor L upon the relative stability of the $[PdLX_5]^-$ ions, $NR_3 \gg PR_3 \sim AsR_3 \sim SR_2 > SeR_2$ ($\gg SbR_3$, TeR_2), is evidence that Pd^{IV} is considerably harder than Pt^{IV} , which in contrast forms its most stable complexes with phosphines and arsines, and bonds tertiary amines much less strongly.^{13,17}

Experimental

Physical measurements were made as described previously.¹⁷ The i.r. spectra were obtained using NaCl plates (4 000—600 cm⁻¹) and Polythene plates (400—180 cm⁻¹) since CsI plates were rapidly attacked by the Pd^{IV} complexes. Most of the Pd^{II} starting materials were obtained by literature routes or minor modifications thereof: *viz.* NMe₃,¹⁵ PR₃,^{21,22} AsEt₃,²¹, SMe₂,²³ pv.⁶

Although PdBr₂ was reported ¹⁵ not to react with NMe₃, we found that freshly prepared PdBr₂, obtained by repeatedly evaporating PdCl₂ with concentrated HBr, readily gave [Pd(NMe₃)₂Br₂] on reaction in acetone (Found: C, 13.4; H, 3.2; N, 5.1. C₆H₁₈Br₂N₂Pd requires C, 13.2; H, 3.3; N, 5.2%); v(Pd-Br) 215 cm⁻¹; E_{max} 31 300, 26 700, 23 000 diffuse reflectance (d.r.).

[NBu₄][PdLCl₃] (L = SeMe₂, TeMe₂, dmso, PPh₃S, or py).—[NBu₄]₂[Pd₂Cl₆]. To Na₂[PdCl₄] (1.47 g, 5 mmol) in methanol (30 cm³) was added [NBuⁿ₄]Cl (1.39 g, 5 mmol in methanol (30 cm³). After 1 h the solution was rotary evaporated to \sim 5 cm³ and the product precipitated with water (100 cm³), collected, and dried *in vacuo* (2.14 g, 94%).

To [NBu₄]₂[Pd₂Cl₆] (0.23 g, 0.25 mmol) dissolved in acetone (50 cm³) was added the calculated amount of L (0.5 mmol) and the mixture stirred for 4 h. The solution was then reduced to a small volume ~5 cm³ and the crude product precipitated with diethyl ether. The oil which is invariably obtained initially crystallises on stirring with diethyl ether over a period of ~2 h. The solid obtained was recrystallised from dichloromethane-diethyl ether. Yields 70—90%.

L = SeMe₂. Found: C, 38.4; H, 7.4; N, 2.5. $C_{18}H_{42}Cl_{3}$ -NPdSe requires C, 38.3; H, 7.5; N, 2.5%; v(Pd⁻Cl) at 338, 314, 295 (sh) cm⁻¹; E_{max} 32 000, 22 500 (d.r.).

L = TeMe₂. Found: C, 35.0; H, 6.5; N, 2.4. $C_{18}H_{42}Cl_{3}$ -NPdTe requires C, 35.3; H, 6.9; N, 2.3%; ν (Pd-Cl) at 338, 298 cm⁻¹; $E_{\text{max.}}$ 28 800, 23 000 (d.r.). L = dmso. Found: C, 40.1; H, 7.5; N, 5.2. $C_{18}H_{42}Cl_{3}$ -

L = dmso. Found: C, 40.1; H, 7.5; N, 5.2. $C_{18}H_{42}Cl_{3}$ -NOPdS requires C, 40.5; H, 7.9; N, 5.3%; v(Pd-Cl) at 347, 319, 298 (sh) cm⁻¹; $E_{\text{max.}}$ 32 800, 27 700, 23 200 (d.r.); v(S=O) = 1 125 cm⁻¹.

L = PPh₃S. Found: C, 54.2; H, 6.9; N, 1.8. $C_{34}H_{51}Cl_{3}$ -NPPdS requires C, 54.5; H, 6.9; N, 1.9%; v(Pd⁻Cl) at 358, 317 cm⁻¹; E_{max} 27 900, 22 100 (d.r.); v(P=S) = 585 cm⁻¹.

L = py. Found: C, 47.1; H, 7.5; N, 5.3. $C_{21}H_{41}Cl_3N_2Pd$ requires C, 47.2; H, 7.7; N, 5.2%; $\nu(Pd-Cl)$ at 326 (vbr) cm⁻¹; E_{max} . 31 000 (br), 22 900 (d.r.).

No reaction occurred between PPh₃O and [NBuⁿ₄]₂[Pd₂Cl₆] in cold or refluxing acetone solutions.

[NBu₄][PdLBr₃] (L = SMe₂, TeMe₂, or py).—These were prepared from [NBuⁿ₄]₂[Pd₂Br₆] and L in acetone as described above for the chlorides. No reaction occurred between L = dmso, PPh₃S, and PPh₃O in cold or refluxing acetone solutions. The compound [NBuⁿ₄]₂[Pd₂Br₆] was prepared analogously to the chloride using Na₂[PdCl₄] (1.47 g, 5 mmol) exchanged by stirring overnight in MeOH (100 cm³) with NaBr (2.06 g, 20 mmol).

L = SeMe₂. Found: C, 30.8; H, 6.1; N, 2.1. $C_{18}H_{42}Br_{3}$ -NPdSe requires C, 31.0; H, 6.1; N, 2.0%; ν (Pd-Br) at 265, 249 cm⁻¹; E_{max} . 27 700, 23 800, 20 500 (sh) (d.r.).

L = TeMe₂. Found: C, 29.2; H, 5.3; N, 1.9. $C_{18}H_{42}Br_{3}$ -NPdTe requires C, 29.0; H, 5.7; N, 1.9%; v(Pd-Br) at 257, 233 cm⁻¹; E_{max} 26 700, 22 200 (d.r.).

L = py. Found: C, 37.8; H, 6.2; N, 4.1. $C_{21}H_{41}Br_3N_2Pd$ requires C, 37.8; H, 6.2; N, 4.2%; v(Pd-Br) at 267, 244 cm⁻¹; $E_{\text{max.}}$ 30 600, 24 800, 21 200 (sh) (d.r.).

[NR₄][PdLCl₅] (L = py, NMe₃, PPr₃, AsEt₃, SMe₂, PPh₃S, or SeMe₂).—The finely ground Pd¹¹ complex was suspended in a small volume of dry carbon tetrachloride and rapidly stirred whilst a small excess of chlorine was slowly bubbled in. The mixture was stirred for 30 min when the product was filtered off, but not pumped dry (care should be taken not to pump damp air through the products), and then dried *in vacuo*. Yields were generally >90%.

 $[NR_4][PdLBr_5](L = py, PEt_2Ph, AsEt_3, SMe_2, or SeMe_2).$ These were prepared analogously to the chlorides by the addition of a small excess of bromine.

 $[PdL_2X_4]$ (L = NMe₃, X = Cl or Br; L = py, PPrⁿ₃, or AsMe₂Ph, X = Cl).—These were prepared by the methods described above for $[NR_4][PdLX_5]$.

Acknowledgements

We thank Southampton University for support of this work and Dr. S. G. Murray for the use of the t.g.a. facilities.

References

- 1 Part 2, D. J. Gulliver, W. Levason, and K. G. Smith, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1981, 2153.
- 2 For a review, see D. J. Gulliver and W. Levason, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, in the press.
- 3 H. D. K. Drew, F. W. Pinkard, G. H. Preston, and W. Wardlaw, J. Chem. Soc., 1932, 1895.
- 4 Yu. N. Kukushkin, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem., 1963, 8, 417.
- 5 R. J. H. Clark and W. R. Trumble, Inorg. Chem., 1976, 15, 1030.
- A. Rosenheim and T. A. Mass, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1898, 18, 331.
- 7 B. Bosnich, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1966, 1394.
- 8 R. S. Nyholm and P. Royo, Chem. Commun., 1969, 421.
- 9 R. Uson, P. Royo, J. Fornies, and F. Martinez, J. Organomet. Chem., 1975, 90, 367.
- 10 T. Ito, H. Tsuchiya, and A. Yamamoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1977, 50, 1319.
- 11 A. Moravskiy and J. K. Stille, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 4182.
- 12 K. J. Wynne and J. W. George, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 1649.
- 13 P. L. Goggin, R. J. Goodfellow, S. R. Haddock, J. R. Knight, F. J. S. Reed, and B. F. Taylor, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1974, 523
- 14 G. N. Henning, P. A. Dobosh, A. J. McCaffery, and P. N.

- Schatz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 5377; G. J. Leigh and D. M. P. Mingos, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1970, 587.
- 15 P. L. Goggin, R. J. Goodfellow, and F. J. S. Reed, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1972, 1298.
- 16 Y. N. Kukushkin, G. N. Sedova, and R. A. Vlasova, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem., 1978, 23, 1032.
- 17 D. J. Gulliver, W. Levason, K. G. Smith, M. Selwood, and S. G. Murray, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1980, 1872.
- 18 R. V. Parish, 'The Metallic Elements,' Longmans, London, 1977.
- W. E. Dasent, 'Inorganic Energetics,' Penguin, London, 1970.
 C. J. Ballhausen, 'Introduction to Ligand Field Theory,' McGraw-Hill, New York, 1962.
- 21 D. A. Duddell, P. L. Goggin, R. J. Goodfellow, M. G. Norton, and J. G. Smith, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1970, 545.
- 22 F. G. Mann and D. Purdie, J. Chem. Soc., 1940, 1235.
- 23 R. J. Goodfellow, P. L. Goggin, and D. A. Duddell, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1968, 504.

Received 15th January 1982; Paper 2/074